IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8274 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1529 2003

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders

CORAM : J.P. DEVADHAR AND
B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, JJ.
JUNE 08, 2007.

Heard Shri Dastane, learned counsel for the petitioners – applicants, Shri Singh, learned counsel for respondents No.4, Shri Deopujari, learned AGP for respondents No. 1 & 2 and Shri Khade, learned counsel for respondent No.3.

The petitioners are seeking production of documents at this stage only on the ground of their apprehension that Respondent No.4 – management is indulging in profiteering. The argument is that if ultimately the petition filed by present petitioners is dismissed and Respondent No.4 succeeds in recovering the enhanced fees as impugned therein, it would amount to profiteering.

There is no material produced at least at this stage to apprehend that Respondent No.4 is indulging in profiteering. However, considering the interest of students, we direct Respondent No.4 to keep all necessary documents available at the time of final hearing.

Civil Application is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

*GS.